IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND SEVEN

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 32849 of 1997

Between:

AVVSSPRBK Murthy ..... PETITIONER

AND

A.P. State Electricity Board, through its
Member Secretary at Vidyut Soudha,
Somajiguda, Hyderabad And three others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 32849 of 1997

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the Respondents in rejecting his claim for being considered and appointed on compassionate grounds in any post existing with the Respondents/authorities.

2. It is stated that the father of the Petitioner while in service working as Helper at Gunupudi, died in harness as he met with a fatal accident. At that time, the Petitioner was only one year old, and in view of the sudden demise of his father, the Petitioner's mother filed an application seeking appointment on compassionate grounds. However, her application was not considered favourbly. Therefore, an application was made on behalf of the Petitioner when the Petitioner attained majority. It was claimed that in respect of similar cases, compassionate appointments were made. Therefore, it is proper and just to consider the case of the Petitioner also. However, the application of the Petitioner was rejected as per the Memo dated 7.3.1997, which refers to the order of the Board, but it was not communicated, according to the Petitioner, though the

communication shows that it was enclosed. However, the Petitioner came to know that his application was rejected by the Board on the ground that it is a belated application. It is stated that since the Petitioner was minor, and as soon as he became major, he filed the application for compassionate appointment, and therefore, sought for appropriate orders.

3. No counter has been filed by the Respondents.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner and considered the material on record.

5. Since more than 30 years have been elapsed from the date of the demise of the father of the Petitioner, and even the rejection of the application of the Petitioner was about ten years back, and now as the Petitioner is aged about 31 years, it may not be proper for this court to give any direction at this stage. In addition, no scheme of compassionate appointments was placed before this court to consider the case of the Petitioner. Therefore, there is no merit in the writ petition.

6. Therefore, the writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

_____

S.ANANDA REDDY.J

Dated: 07..08..2007
kvh

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 32849 of 1997

O R D E R

Dt. 07..08..2007